## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL A. KUMMER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 17-cv-1097-pp

BLAKE CAPITAL CORPORATION d/b/a/ THE RIDGES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Defendant.

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR AN EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERAS MOOT (DKT. NO. 3) AND RETURNING CASE TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

On August 9, 2017, the plaintiff filed a *pro se* complaint asking for an "emergency order from the Court (Rule 65 FRCP) against the Defendants commanding Defendants to STOP any further adverse action which impairs the Plaintiffs tenancy." Dkt. No. 1 at 4. Because the plaintiff cited Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, the clerk's office re-docketed the complaint as an emergency motion for a temporary restraining order. Dkt. No. 3.

A little over a week later, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. Dkt. No. 6. The amended complaint seeks only monetary damages, and does not mention a request for relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65. Because the amended complaint supersedes his original complaint, there is no longer a motion for injunctive relief pending before the court.

The court **DENIES AS MOOT** the plaintiff's motion for an emergency restraining order. Dkt. No. 3. The court **REFERS** the case back to Magistrate Judge Nancy Joseph for further proceedings.

Dated in Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 28th day of August, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

HON. PAMELA PEPPER

**United States District Judge**